

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. 06/23/2003 9645 10/600,571 Masao Hori HARA-072-046 **EXAMINER** 08/08/2005 7590 KUBOVCIK & KUBOVCIK NGUYEN, TU MINH SUITE 710 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 900 17TH STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006 3748

DATE MAILED: 08/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		TA	$\sim$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/600,571	HORI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tu M. Nguyen	3748	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n a reply within the statutory minimum of thi eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	•	·	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	<u> 23 June 2005</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· ·	
Disposition of Claims		•	
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	ndrawn from consideration.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 23 June 2003 is/are		ected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	·		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for formal</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the</li> </ul>	nents have been received. nents have been received in <i>i</i>	Application No. <u>08/875,577</u> .	
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a		received.	
Attachment(s)	_		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. An Applicant's Amendment filed on June 23, 2005 has been entered. Claims 13-14 have been added. Overall, claims 1-14 are pending in this application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office Action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-5 and 7-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nomura et al. (U.S. Patent 5,174,111) in view of Leyrer et al. (U.S. Patent 5,643,542) and legal precedent.

Re claims 1 and 11, as illustrated in Figures 1, 2, 12, 13, and 23, Nomura et al. disclose a process for purifying exhaust gas from gasoline engines comprising a step of purifying exhaust gas from a gasoline engine (2A) of a fuel-direct-injection type by contacting the exhaust gas with a single exhaust-gas purifying-use catalyst (18A) that contains a noble metal and a fire-resistant inorganic oxide (zeolite) carrying the noble metal, the fire-resistant inorganic oxide being active alumina, titania, or zirconia, or a composite oxide thereof (lines 3-5 of Abstract);

Page 3

wherein the gasoline engine (2A) of a fuel-direct-injection type is one which allows fuel to be directly injected inside a cylinder of the engine, and

wherein the exhaust gas varies between a first exhaust gas state (high engine speed and high engine load area of region B in Figure 13) having a relatively high exhaust-gas temperature at an inlet of the catalyst, and a second exhaust state (medium engine speed and medium engine load area (region A in Figure 13)) that forms a more oxidizing, low-temperature atmosphere as compared with the first exhaust gas state, depending on changes in air-fuel ratio, the second exhaust gas state having a relatively low exhaust-gas temperature at the inlet of the catalyst (also see Figures 1-2, lines 32-36 of column 8, and lines 46-57 of column 8).

Nomura et al., however, fail to specifically disclose that the exhaust gas temperature is in a range of 350°C to 800°C for the first exhaust gas state and in a range of 200°C to 500°C for the second exhaust gas state; and that an amount of the noble metal being in a range of 0.01 to 50 g/liter with respect to the catalyst volume, an amount of the fire-resistant inorganic oxide being about 50 to 300 g/liter with respect to the catalyst volume, and a water-soluble compound being used as a source of the noble metal.

Nomura et al. disclose the claimed invention except for specifying an optimum range of exhaust gas temperature for each of the first exhaust gas state and the second exhaust gas. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a specific optimum range of exhaust gas temperature for each given exhaust gas state, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior

art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPO 233.

Page 4

As indicated in the Abstract and in the claims, Leyrer et al. teach a NOx conversion catalyst adapted to purify hydrocarbons, carbon monoxide, and NOx in the exhaust gas of an internal combustion engine. The NOx conversion catalyst comprises a catalytically active coating having a platinum metal group and a base metal oxide compound (claim 1). The platinum metal group is in a range of 0.01 to 5 g/liter of the catalyst volume (claim 9) and is obtained from a water-soluble compound (lines 38-49 of column 5, line 6 of column 7). The base metal oxide is an fire-resistant inorganic oxide in a range of up to 100 g/liter with respect to the catalyst volume (claims 5 and 9). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made, to have utilized the NOx conversion catalyst taught by Leyrer et al. in the process of Nomura et al., since the use thereof would have provided a catalyst having high efficiencies in removing HC, CO, and NOx in the exhaust gas.

Re claim 2, in the modified process of Nomura et al., the exhaust gas is purified by removing hydrocarbon, carbon monoxide, and nitrogen oxides from the exhaust gas by the use of the catalyst (18A).

Re claim 3, in the modified process of Nomura et al., the first exhaust gas state appears when the air-fuel ratio is in the range of 13 to 15 (in the high engine load and engine speed area. the engine air-fuel ratio is approximately stoichiometry (lines 50-53 of column 8)), and the second exhaust gas state appears when the air-fuel ratio exceeds the above-mentioned air-fuel ratio (in the medium engine load and speed area, the engine air-fuel ratio is lean).

Art Unit: 3748

Re claim 4, in the modified process of Nomura et al., the second exhaust gas state appears when the air-fuel ratio ranges from more than 15 up to 50 (see paragraph above).

Re claim 5, in the modified process of Nomura et al., the catalyst (18A) includes at least one kind of noble metals, selected from the group consisting of platinum, palladium, rhodium, and iridium.

Re claim 7, in the modified process of Nomura et al., the catalyst (18A) further comprises a transition metal (vanadium) (see claim 5 of Leyrer et al.), an amount of the transition metal being in a range of 0.01 to 50 g/liter with respect to the catalyst volume (see claim 9 of Leyrer et al.), and a water-soluble compound being used as a source of the transition metal contained in the catalyst (lines 50-55 of column 5 in Leyrer et al.).

Re claim 8, in the modified process of Nomura et al.,

- the gasoline engine includes a cylinder that serves as a combustion chamber for gasoline as a fuel; an ignition plug (not shown but obviously must have); an injector (8A) that is used for injecting the fuel; a control section (10A) for controlling an ignition timing of the ignition plug and an amount of fuel injection of the injector, and
- the control section (10A) controls an air-fuel ratio depending on the injector so as to cause the gasoline engine to be in the second exhaust gas state.

Re claims 9-10, in the modified process of Nomura et al., the control section controls an air-fuel ratio depending on the injector so that a temperature of the exhaust gas at an inlet of the catalyst is not more than a threshold value so as to cause the gasoline engine to be in the second exhaust gas state (see Figure 1: step 104 with NO answer and step 106 with YES answer).

Nomura et al., however, fail to specifically disclose that the threshold value is 350°C or 300°C.

Nomura et al. disclose the claimed invention except for specifying an optimum value of exhaust gas temperature at which the catalyst is maintained under. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a specific optimum value of exhaust gas temperature to maintain the catalyst under, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Re claim 12, in the modified process of Nomura et al., the catalyst further contains, as a co-catalyst, a rare-earth metal (see claim 4 of Leyrer et al.).

Re claims 13-14, in the modified process of Nomura et al., the single exhaust-gas purifying-use catalyst that consists essentially of a noble metal is obtained by impregnating a noble metal in the fire-resistant inorganic oxide.

4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nomura et al. in view of Leyrer et al. and legal precedent as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Schmidt (U.S. Patent 3,986,350).

The modified process of Nomura et al. discloses the invention as cited above, however, fails to disclose that the catalyst includes at least one of platinum and iridium.

As indicated on line 66 of column 3, Schmidt teaches that platinum is one of a noble metal utilized in their NOx catalyst (9). Thus, based on the teaching of Schmidt, it is at least

obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to realize that the catalyst used in Nomura et al. includes platinum as a noble metal.

### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to the reference applied in the previous Office Action have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., fire-resistant inorganic oxides in the pending application includes alumina, titania, zirconia, and a composite oxide thereof) (page 10 of Applicant's Amendment) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). In the base claims 1 and 11, the fire-resistant inorganic oxides in the pending application are claimed to be compounds of alumina, titania, or zirconia, or a composite oxide thereof (emphasis added by examiner). Therefore, a composite oxide such as zeolite in Nomura et al. is sufficient to meet the said claimed limitation in the base claims 1 and 11. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

In response to applicant's argument that Nomura et al. fail to disclose or even suggest an exhaust gas temperature at the inlet of the catalyst (page 11 of Applicant's Amendment), the examiner respectfully disagrees.

The text on lines 29-36 of column 8 in Nomura et al. reads "At step 102, the current engine operating conditions including an engine load Q/N, an engine speed NE, and an exhaust gas temperature T are entered. The exhaust gas temperature may be calculated from the

current engine load Q/N and the current engine speed NE using a map or may be detected from a temperature sensor installed in the exhaust conduit of the engine." Nomura et al. then proceed to designate the calculated exhaust gas temperature as an inlet temperature to the NOx catalyst (18) used for the control of an assisted air control valve (16) (see Figure 2 and lines 58-68 of column 8). Thus, Nomura et al. clearly disclose or suggest the use of a map of engine load and engine speed to determine an exhaust gas temperature at the inlet of the catalyst. And one having ordinary skill in the art would immediately recognize that an exhaust gas temperature exiting an internal combustion engine such as the one in Nomura et al. is increased in an engine region where the engine speed and engine load are higher.

### Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3748

### Communication

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Tu Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4862.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Thomas E. Denion, can be reached on (571) 272-4859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TMN

August 4, 2005

Tu M. Nguyen

Primary Examiner

Tu M. Wguyen

Art Unit 3748